

Q: → Prove that the argument
 $p \rightarrow \sim q, r \rightarrow q, r \vdash \sim p$
 is valid without using truth tables.

Pf

- 1) $p \rightarrow \sim q$ (Premise)
- 2) $r \rightarrow q$ (Premise)
- 3) $\sim q \rightarrow \sim r$ (Contrapositive of 2) [$p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$]
- 4) $p \rightarrow \sim r$ (Hypothetical Syllogism of 1) and 3)
- 5) r (Premise)
- 6) $\sim p$ (Modus tollens of 4) and 5)

$$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow \sim q \\ \sim q \rightarrow \sim r \\ \hline \therefore p \rightarrow \sim r \\ \\ p \rightarrow \sim r \\ r \\ \hline \therefore \sim p \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow q \\ \sim q \\ \hline \therefore \sim p \end{array}$$

∴ Given argument is valid

Q: → Consider the following statements
 It is snowing. If it is warm, then it is not snowing. If it is not warm then I cannot go for swimming.
 Show that the statement "I cannot go for swimming" is a true statement."

sol: Let p : It is snowing
 q : It is warm
 r : I can go for swimming

Premises $P_1: p$
 $P_2: q \rightarrow \sim p$
 $P_3: \sim q \rightarrow \sim r$

Conclusion $Q: \sim r$

Argument $p, q \rightarrow \sim p, \sim q \rightarrow \sim r \vdash \sim r$

- | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1) | p | Premise | |
| 2) | $q \rightarrow \sim p$ | Premise | |
| 3) | $\sim q \rightarrow \sim r$ | Premise | |
| 4) | $p \rightarrow \sim q$ | (Contrapositive of 2) | $p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$
$q \rightarrow \sim p \equiv \sim(\sim p) \rightarrow \sim q$
$\equiv p \rightarrow \sim q$ |
| 5) | $p \rightarrow \sim r$ | (Hypothetical Syllogism of 4) and 3) | $p \rightarrow q$ ie $p \rightarrow \sim q$
$q \rightarrow r$ ie $\sim q \rightarrow \sim r$
<hr/> $\therefore p \rightarrow r$ $\therefore \underline{p \rightarrow \sim r}$ |
| 6) | $\sim r$ | (Modus tollens of 1) and 5) | p ie p
$p \rightarrow q$ ie $p \rightarrow \sim r$
<hr/> $\therefore \underline{\sim r}$ |

\therefore Argument is valid

- 9) Universal Instantiation
 Premise $\forall x P(x)$
 Conclusion $P(c)$ for any c
- 10) Universal Generalization
 Premise $P(c)$ for any arbitrary c
 Conclusion $\forall x P(x)$
- 11) Existential Instantiation
 Premise $\exists x P(x)$
 Conclusion $P(c)$ for some c
- 12) Existential Generalization
 Premise $P(c)$ for some c
 Conclusion $\exists x P(x)$

Q: \rightarrow It is known that

- 1) A student in this class not read the book.

2. Everyone in this class passed the first exam.
 Can you conclude that "someone who passed the first exam has not read the book."

sol: \rightarrow Let

$C(x)$ represents x is student in the class

$B(x)$ " " read the book

$P(x)$ " " x passed the first exam

Premises $P_1 : \exists x (C(x) \wedge \sim B(x))$

$P_2 : \forall x (C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$

Conclusion $\exists x (P(x) \wedge \sim B(x))$

- 1) $\exists x (C(x) \wedge \sim B(x))$ Premise
- 2) $C(a) \wedge \sim B(a)$ (Existential Instantiation of 1) for some a)
- 3) $C(a)$ (Simplification of 2) $\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p}$
- 4) $\forall x (C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$ Premise
- 5) $C(a) \rightarrow P(a)$ (Universal Instantiation of 4)
- 6) $P(a)$ (Modus Ponens of 3) and 5) $\frac{p \quad p \rightarrow q}{\therefore q} \quad \frac{C(a) \quad C(a) \rightarrow P(a)}{\therefore P(a)}$
- 7) $\sim B(a)$ (Simplification of 2) $p \wedge q \equiv \frac{q \wedge p}{\therefore q}$
- 8) $P(a) \wedge \sim B(a)$ (Conjunction of 6) and 7) $\frac{p \quad q}{\therefore p \wedge q} \quad C(a) \wedge \sim B(a) \equiv \frac{\sim B(a) \wedge C(a)}{\therefore \sim B(a)}$
- 9) $\exists x (P(x) \wedge \sim B(x))$ (Existential Generalization)